

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/661,188	GOERTZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Leigh McKane	1744

All Participants:

(1) Leigh McKane.

Status of Application: Amended

(3) _____.

(2) Michelle Evans.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

those of record, in general

Claims discussed:

1 and 9

Prior art documents discussed:

of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Ms. Evans in response to the Amendment of 12/1/05. The examiner proposed amendments to the claims to clarify the claims and overcome the prior art of record. After consideration, Ms. Evans called the examiner back on 2/14/06 and approved the examiner's amendment. .